DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS 1301 O STREET, SUITE 200 P.O. BOX 942874 - MS 2 SACRAMENTO, CA 94274-0001 PHONE (916) 323-7111 FAX (916) 323-7123 TYY 711



May 7, 2009

Ms. Marjie Kim
Deputy Executive Director
Merced County Association of Governments
369 West 18th Street
Merced, CA 95340

Re:

Merced County Association of Governments

Audit of Indirect Cost Allocation Plan Fiscal Year 2009

File Number: P1190-0704

Dear Ms. Kim:

We have audited the Merced County Association of Government's (MCAG) Indirect Cost Allocation Plan (ICAP) for the fiscal year (FY) ending June 30, 2069, to determine whether the ICAP is presented in accordance with 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 225 (formerly Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87) and the California Department of Transportation's (Department) Local Program Procedures (LPP) 04-10. MCAG management is responsible for the fair presentation of the ICAP. MCAG proposed an indirect cost rate of 33 percent of total direct salaries and wages plus fringe benefits.

Our audit was conducted in accordance with the Standards for Performance Audits set forth in the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. The audit was less in scope than an audit performed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements of MCAG. Therefore, we did not audit and are not expressing an opinion on MCAG's financial statements.

The standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the data and records reviewed are free of material misstatement, as well as material noncompliance with fiscal provisions relative to the ICAP. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the data and records reviewed. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by MCAG, as well as evaluating the overall presentation.

The accompanying ICAP was prepared on a basis of accounting practices prescribed in 2 CFR Part 225 and the Department's LPP 04-10, and is not intended to present the results of operations of MCAG in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The scope of the audit was limited to select financial and compliance activities. The audit consisted of a recalculation of the ICAP, a limited review of MCAG's Overall Work Program for FY 08/09, inquiries of MCAG personnel, reliance placed on MCAG's single audit report for the FY ended June 30, 2007, and prior audit field work performed by Department staff in September 2005. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our conclusion.

Because of inherent limitations in any financial management system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of the financial management system to future periods are subject to the risk that the financial management system may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Our finding and recommendation take into consideration MCAG's response to our draft finding. Our finding, recommendation, a summary of MCAG's response, and our analysis of the MCAG response are detailed below.

AUDIT RESULTS

Based on audit work performed, MCAG's ICAP for the FY ending June 30, 2009, is presented in accordance with 2 CFR Part 225 and LPP 04-10. The approved indirect cost rate is 33 percent of total direct salaries and wages, plus fringe benefits. The approval is based on the understanding that a carry-forward provision applies and no adjustment will be made to previously approved rates.

MCAG requested a provisional rate of 33 percent on August 28, 2008, for FY 2008/09. Audits and Investigations approved the provisional rate on September 4, 2008. Since the provisional rate and final approved rate are the same MCAG is not required to perform a reconciliation of prior reimbursed claims.

Finding

MCAG's FY 06/07 carry-forward pool of indirect costs included \$85,311 of unallocable Professional Services costs associated with the Yosemite Area Regional Transportation System, which is a separate entity from the MCAG. Including the unallocable costs in the calculation of the FY 06/07 carry-forward resulted in an overstatement of the FY 08/09 indirect cost rate. 2 CFR Part 225 Appendix A (C) (1) describes factors affecting allowability of costs and states, in part, that a cost must be allocable to Federal awards under the provisions of this Circular, in order to be allowable and (C) (3) describes factors affecting allocability of costs states, in part, that costs are chargeable or assignable to cost objectives in accordance with relative benefits received.

Recommendation

MCAG must ensure that all costs not meeting the criteria's established by 2 CFR, Part 225 be excluded from all future ICAPs submitted to the Department for review and approval.

MCAG's Response

The MCAG agreed with the finding and revised the ICAP accordingly.

Ms. Marjie Kim May 7, 2009 Page 3

Analysis of Response

The finding and recommendation remain.

This report is intended solely for the information of MCAG, Department Management, the California Transportation Commission and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Please retain the approved ICAP for your files. Copies were sent to the Department's District 10, the Department's Division of Accounting and the FHWA. If you have any questions, please contact Cliff Vose, Audit Manager, at (916) 323-7917.

Cliff RVose for MARYANN CAMPBELL-SMITH

Chief, External Audits

Attachments

- c: Brenda Bryant, Financial Services Director, Federal Highway Administration Sue Kiser, Planning and Right of Way Director, Federal Highway Administration Dan Mundy, Branch Chief, Rural Transit and Procurement, Division of Mass Transportation
 - David Saia, Senior Transportation Engineer, Policy Development and Quality Assurance, Division of Local Assistance
 - Jenny Tran, Associate Accounting Analyst, Local Assistance Accounting Branch, Division of Accounting.
 - Andrew Knapp, Associate Transportation Planner, Regional and Interagency Planning, Division of Transportation Planning
 - Tom Dumas, California Department of Transportation, District 10 Senior for Intermodal Planning.

MERCED COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (MCAG)

Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Indirect Cost Plan

The indirect cost rate contained herein is for use on grants, contracts and other agreements with the Federal Government and California Department of Transportation (Department), subject to the conditions in Section II. This plan was prepared by the City and approved by the Department.

SECTION 1: Rates

Rate Type	Effective Period	Rate*	Applicable To
Fixed with carry forward	7/01/08 to 6/30/09	33%	All Programs

^{*} Base: Total Direct Salaries and Wages plus fringe benefits

SECTION II: General Provisions

A. Limitations:

The rates in this Agreement are subject to any statutory or administrative limitations and apply to a given grant, contract, or other agreement only to the extent that funds are available. Acceptance of the rates is subject to the following conditions: (1) Only costs incurred by the organization were included in its indirect cost pool as finally accepted; such costs are legal obligations of the organization and are allowable under the governing cost principles; (2) The same costs that have been treated as indirect costs are not claimed as direct costs; (3) Similar types of costs have been accorded consistent accounting treatment; and (4) The information provided by the organization which was used to establish the rates is not later found to be materially incomplete or inaccurate by the Federal Government or the Department. In such situations the rate(s) would be subject to renegotiation at the discretion of the Federal Government or the Department; (5) Prior actual costs used in the calculation of the approved rate are contained in the grantee's Single Audit, which was prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. If a Single Audit is not required to be performed, then audited financial statements should be used to support the prior actual costs; and, (6) This rate is based on an estimate of the costs to be incurred during the period.

B. Accounting Changes:

This Agreement is based on the accounting system purported by the organization to be in effect during the Agreement period. Changes to the method of accounting for costs, which affect the amount of reimbursement resulting from the use of this Agreement, require prior approval of the authorized representative of the cognizant agency. Such changes include, but are not limited to, changes in the charging of a particular type of cost from indirect to direct. Failure to obtain approval may result in cost disallowances.

C. Fixed Rate with Carry Forward:

The fixed rate used in this Agreement is based on estimate of the costs for the period covered by the rate. When the actual costs for this period are determined—either by the grantee's Single Audit or if a Single Audit is not required, then by the grantee's audit financial statements—any differences between the application of the fixed rate and actual costs will result in an over or under recovery of costs. The over or under recovery will be carried forward, as an adjustment to the calculation of the indirect cost rate, to the second fiscal year subsequent to the fiscal year covered by this plan.

D. Audit Adjustments:

Immaterial adjustments resulting from the audit of information contained in this plan shall be compensated for in the subsequent indirect cost plan approved after the date of the audit adjustment. Material audit adjustments will require reimbursement from the grantee.

E. Use by Other Federal Agencies:

Authority to approve this agreement by the Department has been delegated by the Federal Highway Administration, California Division. The purpose of this approval is to permit subject local government to bill indirect costs to Title 23 funded projects administered by the Federal Department of Transportation (DOT). This approval does not apply to any grants, contracts, projects, or programs for which DOT is not the cognizant Federal agency.

The approval will also be used by the Department in State-only funded projects.

F. Other:

If any Federal contract, grant, or other agreement is reimbursing indirect costs by a means other than the approved rate(s) in this Agreement, the organization should (1) credit such costs to the affected programs, and (2) apply the approved rate(s) to the appropriate base to identify the proper amount of indirect costs allocable to these programs.

G. Rate of Calculation:

FY 2008/2009 Budgeted Indirect Costs	\$ 499,094	See indirect cost calculation detail Attachment A - page 3>
Carry Forward from FY 2006/2007	<u>181,975</u>	Carryforward calculation Attachment A - page 2>
Estimated FY 2008/2009 Indirect Costs	\$ 681,069	
FY 2008/2009 Budgeted Direct Salaries and Wages plus Fringe Benefits	\$2,060,000	See indirect cost calculation detail Attachment A - page 3>
FY 2008/2009 Indirect Cost Rate	33%	

CERTIFICATION OF INDIRECT COSTS

This is to certify that I have reviewed the indirect cost rate proposal submitted herewith and to the best of my knowledge and belief:

(1) All costs included in this proposal to establish billing or final indirect costs rates for fiscal year 2008/2009 (July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009) are allowable in accordance with the requirements of the Federal and State award(s) to which they apply and OMB Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments." Unallowable costs have been adjusted for in allocating costs as indicated in the cost allocation plan.

(2) All costs included in this proposal are properly allocable to Federal and State awards on the basis of a beneficial or causal relationship between the expenses incurred and the agreements to which they are allocated in accordance with applicable requirements. Further, the same costs that have been treated as indirect costs have not been claimed as direct costs. Similar types of costs have been accounted for consistently and the Federal Government and the Department will be notified of any accounting changes that would affect the fixed rate.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.

Governmental Unit: Merced County Association	on of Governments
Signature: The Wh	Signature: 1/1/51
Reviewed, Approved and Submitted by:	Prepared by:
Name of Official: Jesse B. Brown	Name of Official: Terrie Hagins
Title: Executive Director	Title: Administrative Services Supervisor
Date of Execution: <u>07/18/08</u> Telephon	ne No.: (209) 723-3153 Ext 317
INDIRECT COST RATE APPROVAL	

The Department has reviewed this indirect cost plan and hereby approves the plan.

Elifford R Vise for Signature	Clena Surrevol Signature
Reviewed and Approved by:	Reviewed and Approved by:
MAXYANN CAMPBELL SMITH	Elena Cherreru
Name of Audit Manager	Name of Auditor
Title: Chief, External And ts	Title: Andifor
Date: 8 MAY 2009	Date: May 8, 2009
Phone Number: (911) 323-7165	Phone Number: (916) 323-7954

Page 2 of ICAP Certification Letter. G: Calculation of Rate:

FY 08/09 Budgeted Indirect Costs	69	499,094	499,094 <see 3="" calculation="" cost="" detail="" indirect="" page=""></see>	
Carry Forward From FY 2008/07	₩	181,975	\$ 181,975 <camyforward 2="" calculation="" page=""></camyforward>	
Adjusted Indirect Costs for FY 2008/09	₩	681,069		
FY 2008/09 Budgeted Direct Salaries and Wages plus Fringe Benefits	63	2,060,000	\$ 2,060,000 <see 3="" calculation="" cost="" detail="" indirect="" page=""></see>	
FY 2008/09 Indirect Cost Rate		33%		

PAGE 1

Page 2 of ICAP Certification Letter, G: Calculation of Rate:

|--|

PAGE 1

FY 08/09 INDIRECT COST CARRYFORWARD CALCULATION FOR FY 06/07

Reference ACTUAL Estimated FY 07/08 FY 03/09	FY 06/07 approve ICAP rate	FY 06/07 approved ICAP \$ 181,975 FY 06/07 carryforward ** <actual 4="" cost="" detail="" from="" page=""> \$ 499.094 <from 3="" detail="" icap="" page="" rate=""></from></actual>	\$ 681,069	<actual 4="" cost="" detail="" from="" page=""> \$ 2,060,000 <irom 3="" detail="" icap="" page="" rate=""> FY 06/07 approved ICAP rate</irom></actual>	33% FY 08/09 Calculated ICAP Rate	(Total Indirect costs / Actual Direct Salaries & fringe Benefits)	3/09 rate calculation <to< th=""></to<>
	24% FY 06/0	96,943 FY 06/0 449,818 <actual< td=""><td>546,761</td><td>1,519,942 <actual 06="" 0<="" 24%="" fy="" td=""><td>364,786</td><td></td><td>181,975 To FY 00</td></actual></td></actual<>	546,761	1,519,942 <actual 06="" 0<="" 24%="" fy="" td=""><td>364,786</td><td></td><td>181,975 To FY 00</td></actual>	364,786		181,975 To FY 00
Actual Y 06/07	•						
Actual FY 06/07		69 69	673	(/)	43		67

^{*} As required by 2 CFR, Part 225.55 all costs and rates identified on this page are referenced to the schedule(s) and document(s) that support the stated amount and rate.

The actual direct labor costs and actual indirect costs must be supported by audited financial statements. If the audited financial statements do not provide details then the audited general ledger detail must be provided and reconciled to the audited financial and/or any schedule(s) **The costs for FY 08/09's Beginning Carryforward come from the FY 06/07 actual costs, I.e. two years prior to the ICAP year submitted. included in the ICAP package. Any differences in the statements amounts must be fully explained. Any adjustments made to audited figures should also be fully explained (i.e. unallowable costs).

INDIRECT COST ALLOCATION PLAN DETAIL FY 08/09

Item	"]	Ofrect Expense	Reference:	Indirect Expense	Reference:	Unallowable Expense	vabie nse	Reference/ Footnote	TOTAL	Reference:
Salaties Fringe Benefits Total	es es es	1,401,500 859,500 2,060,000 <to pag<="" td=""><td>o page 1></td><td>\$ 218,000 \$ 61,000 \$ 279,000</td><td></td><td>₩ ₩</td><td>5,500 1,500 7,000 See</td><td>See founde #1</td><td>\$ 1,625,000 \$ 721,000 \$ 2,348,000</td><td><approved at<br="" owp="">Page 9></approved></td></to>	o page 1>	\$ 218,000 \$ 61,000 \$ 279,000		₩ ₩	5,500 1,500 7,000 See	See founde #1	\$ 1,625,000 \$ 721,000 \$ 2,348,000	<approved at<br="" owp="">Page 9></approved>
Direct Costs										
Communication	us.	19,000		\$ 5,500		-			\$ 24,500	
Janiorial Expense Insurance-Other				\$ 9,500 \$ 28,500					\$ 9,500	
Maintenance-Equipment				\$ 6,000					8 6.000	
Maintenance-Auto Maintenance Structure				\$ 500					\$ 500	
Mentensios-Suudinie Membarahin				\$ 5,000		,	; i		\$ 5,000	
Office Expense-General	44	27 000		900, 24,000 94,000		5/)	7,000 See	7,000 See footnote #2	000'8 \$	
Office Expense-Equipment	•			\$ 23,000					2007 * 000	
Professional & Special Services	69	2,985,203		\$ 45,000					5 3.030.203	
Audit	€9	17,500		\$ 40,000						
Publication/Legal Notices	t/3	5,250		\$ 1,000						
Rents/Leases-Equipment	us.	2,250		\$ 17,200					s 19.450	
Use Allowance				\$ 11,390					\$ 11.390	
Interest Costs				\$ 8,004					\$ 8,004	
Rents/Leases-Structure & Grounds				, G					69	
Special Departmental Expense	69	219,205		1,000					\$ 220,205	
Transportation & Travel	₩	63,520		\$ 2,500					55 56 020	
Utilities				\$ 12,000					5 12 noo	
Fixed Assets									· +/9	
Configencies						₩)	G,000 Sae footnote #3	footnote #3	\$ 6,000	
Subtotals	(7)	3,338,928		\$ 220,094		49	3,000		3,57	
TOTAL COSTS	49	5,398,928		\$ 498,094 <to 1="" page=""></to>	page 1>	\$ 20	20,000		\$5,918,022	

#1 - Executive Director - indirect time not allowable

^{#2 -} Memberships in amount of \$7,000 from 08/09 are not included an*d*/or removed from Indirect costs per single audit per Caltrans Audit - Calcog, NARC & Asso. of Metro Pianning Org memberships not allowable #3 - Contigencies not allowable

ATTACHMENT A ICAP SUBMISSION PACKAGE

FY 06/07 ACTUAL COSTS DETAILED FOR FY 08/09 CARRYFORWARD CALCULATION <See Note 1 Below>

llam		Direct Expenso	Reference:	- 44	Indirect Exponse	Reference:	Unall Exp	Unallowabio Expense	Reference/ Footnote	TOTAL	Reference:
Sataries Fringe Benefits Total	מן נע נע	1,176,168 343,774 1,519,942	Auditological decument at page 50>		230,128 67,263 297,391	•	w	,		\$ 1,406,298 \$ 411,037 \$ 1,817,333	
Direct Costs											
Communication	69	19,000		VI	3,933					\$ 22,933	
Janitoral Expanse Insurance-Other				o> •0	9,051					\$ 9,051 8 10,278	
Maintenance-Equipment				44	: -					; ;	
Maintenance-Auto				1/7-	,						
Maintenance-Structure				*	2,515					\$ 2,515	
Membership		ı		49	1,043		**	7,000 See	7,000 See footnote #1	\$ 8,043	
Office Expense-General	V ?	27,000		v	13,195					\$ 40,195	
Office Expense-Equipment				₩.	222					\$ 522	
Professional & Special Services	43	2,985,203		.,	26,148					\$ 3,011,348	
Audit	63	17,500		47	23,930					\$ 41,430	
Publication/Legal Notices	¥7	5,250		97	<u> </u>					5 5,184	
Rents/Leasus-Equipment	w	2,250		*>	20,533					\$ 22,783	
Use Allowance				**	19,463					\$ 19,463	
Interest Costs				19	6,847					\$ 6,847	
Rents/Leases-Structure & Grounds				1/7	1,058					1,056	
Special Departmental Expense	œ	219,205		1/3	425					\$ 219,630	
Transportation & Travel	107	63,520		sop	10,578					\$ 74,086	
Usilities				47	2,973					\$ 2,973	
Fixed Assels				U }	,					· ·	
Contigencies			•				s	6,000 See	6,000 See footnote #2	\$ 6,000	
Subfotals \$	()	3,338,928		62	152,427		6/7	13,000		\$ 3,504,355	
TOTAL COSTS	47	4,858,870			449,818 <to 1="" page=""></to>	page 1>	\$	13,000		\$5,321,688	

#1 - Memberships in amount of \$7,000 from 06/07 are not included and/or removed from indirect costs per single audit per Caltrans Audit - Catcog, NARC & Asso, of Metro Planning Org memberships not allowable #2 - Contigencies not allowable